

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant:	Liu	Docket No.:	HW 0411071US
Serial No.:	10/587,228	Conf. No.:	6704
Art Unit:	2474	Examiner:	Benjamin H. Elliott IV
Filed:	March 20, 2007		
For:	Method, Apparatus, Edge Router and System for Providing QoS Guarantee		

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

SUMMARY OF EXAMINER INTERVIEW UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.133(b)

Dear Sir:

Applicant thanks the Examiner for conducting a telephonic Examiner Interview on May 18, 2010. The focus of the interview was a comparison of certain elements of independent claim 1 with Morford et al., U.S. Patent No. 7,496,661 (“Morford”).

Applicant stated that Morford does not teach claim 1 element: “(d) shaping and policing the service traffic flows according to the corresponding bandwidth requirement.” Examiner responded that the broadest reasonable interpretation of this element reads on Morford column 14, lines 12-21. Agreement was not reached on this point.

Applicant stated that Morford does not teach claim 1 element: “(e) selecting the forwarding mode and path of the service traffic flows according to the corresponding outgoing aggregation path information,” and Examiner agreed with this point.

Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration of the claims in view of the amendments entered with the Request for Continued Examination filed on April 7, 2010.

If the Examiner should have any questions, please contact Applicant's Attorney at the number listed below. The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any fees that are due, or credit any overpayment, to Deposit Account No. 50-1065.

Respectfully submitted,

May 19, 2010

Date

/Brian A. Carlson/

Brian A. Carlson

Reg. No. 37,793

Attorney for Applicant

SLATER & MATSIL, L.L.P.
17950 Preston Rd., Suite 1000
Dallas, TX 75252
Tel: 972-732-1001
Fax: 972-732-9218